

Assessment of antioxidant enzymes in leaves and roots of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants under cadmium stress

Evaluación de enzimas antioxidantes en hojas y raíces de plantas *Phaseolus vulgaris* bajo estrés de cadmio

Paulina Beatriz Gutiérrez-Martínez¹, Martha Isabel Torres-Morán², María C. Romero-Puertas³, Josefina Casas-Solís⁴, Patricia Zarazúa-Villaseñor⁵, Elena Sandoval-Pinto⁴, Blanca Catalina Ramírez-Hernández^{1,*}

- ¹ Departamento de Ecología, ² Departamento de Producción Agrícola, ⁴ Departamento de Biología Celular y Molecular. ⁵ Departamento de Desarrollo Rural Sustentable. Centro Universitario de Ciencias Biológicas y Agropecuarias, Universidad de Guadalajara, Camino Ramón Padilla Sánchez 2100, C.P. 45110, Zapopan, Jalisco, Mexico.
- ³ Departamento de Bioquímica, Biología Celular y Molecular de Plantas, Estación Experimental del Zaidín, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Apartado 419, E-18008 Granada, Spain

ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to evaluate the response of Phaseolus vulgaris plants to oxidative stress by cadmium in leaves and roots at different concentrations (0 (control), 0.25, 0.50 and 1 µM). We assessed oxidative stress by the contents of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and malondialdehyde (MDA), as well as protein content. Likewise, we determined the antioxidant enzymatic activity of the superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) enzymes. The results demonstrated a decrease in protein content of roots and leaves, starting with the addition of 0.25 µM Cd, but the MDA content and H₂O₂ levels increased with the addition of 0.25, 0.50 and 1 μ M Cd, this due to the formation of reactive oxygen species. The SOD, APX and GPX enzymatic activity increased in roots treated with 0.25 µM Cd, but these enzymes decreased to higher concentrations (0.50 and 1 µM). On the other hand, the activity of CAT increased in leaves exposed to 0.5 and 1 μ M of Cd. These results indicate that these antioxidant enzymes can act simultaneously in the elimination of reactive oxygen species. Keywords: cadmium, oxidative stress, antioxidant enzymes, Phaseolus vulgaris

RESUMEN

El objetivo del presente estudio fue evaluar la respuesta de plantas de *Phaseolus vulgaris* al estrés oxidativo causado por el cadmio en hojas y raíces en diferentes concentraciones, las cuales fueron 0 (control), 0,25, 0,50 y 1 μ M de cadmio. El estrés oxidativo se evaluó mediante el contenido de peróxido de hidrógeno (H₂O₂) y malondialdehído (MDA), así como el contenido de proteína. Asimismo, se determinó la actividad enzimática antioxidante de las enzimas superóxido dismutasa (SOD), catalasa (CAT), ascorbato peroxidasa (APX) y guaiacol peroxidasa (GPX). Los resultados demostraron una disminución en el contenido de proteínas de las raíces y hojas a partir de la concentración 0.25 μ M de Cd, pero el contenido de MDA y los niveles de H₂O₂ aumentaron con la



Volumen XXII, Número 2

adición de 0.25, 0.50 y 1 μ M de Cd, esto debido a la formación de especies reactivas de oxígeno. La actividad enzimática de SOD, APX y GPX aumentaron en las raíces tratadas con 0.25 μ M de Cd, pero estas enzimas disminuyeron a concentraciones más altas (0.50 y 1 μ M). Por otro lado, la actividad de CAT aumentó en las hojas expuestas a 0.5 y 1 μ M de Cd. Estos resultados indican que estas enzimas antioxidantes pueden actuar simultáneamente contra la eliminación de las especies reactivas de oxígeno.

Palabras clave: cadmio, estrés oxidativo, enzimas antioxidantes, *Phaseolus vulgaris*

INTRODUCTION

Cadmium is a highly toxic heavy metal that disrupts physiological processes in plants; this heavy metal induces oxidative stress and cause cellular damage to plants. Protonation of radical superoxide (O_2^{-}) can produce the hydroxyl radical (OH) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) that converts fatty acids into toxic lipid peroxides and degrade biological membranes (Weckx and Clijsters, 1996; Lu et al., 2010). Cadmium (Cd) is one of the most common heavy metal pollutants for humans, animals and plants (Wang et al., 2009). It enters the environment mainly from anthropogenic processes and agricultural soils (Januškaitienė, 2014), including sources as pesticides, mining waste and chemical fertilizers (Daud et al., 2013; Daud et al., 2015). In plants, Cd affects photosynthesis, while also damaging the light-harvesting complex and photosystems, reducing chlorophyll biosynthesis (Hu et al., 2009) and water status, decreasing the transpiration rate due to stomatal closure (Deng et al., 2014). Although Cd is not involved in cellular redox reactions, that is to say cannot produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) directly, it might impair the respiratory chain, inhibit antioxidant enzymes, and displace other ions in metalloproteins, which will eventually generate Fenton reactions (Romero-Puertas et al., 2019).

Fenton reaction consist in the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) to highly reactive hydroxyl radical

*Autor para correspondencia: Blanca Catalina Ramírez Hernández Correo electrónico: blanca.ramirez@academicos.udg.mx **Recibido: 05 de noviembre de 2019 Aceptado: 07 de enero de 2020**

(OH) in the presence of iron (Fe): $Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+}OH + C$ OH⁻ (Bhaduri and Fulekar, 2012). Thus, it induces the production of ROS as superoxide radicals (O₂-), OH, H₂O₂ and singlet oxygen (10,) (Sytar et al., 2013). ROS can cause oxidative damage to several cellular constituents, including lipids, proteins and nucleic acids (Lu et al., 2010). In addition, ROS are the most common initiators of lipid peroxidation in living cells (Shahid et al., 2014). Some research has shown that there is an increased production of H₂O₂ in plants exposed to different concentrations of Cd, such as in Solanum lycopersicum (Noqueirol et al., 2016), Pteria vittata (Balestri et al., 2014), Oriza sativa (Roychoudhury et al., 2012) and in Hygrophila schulla (Mandal et al., 2015). These radicals affect the permeability of cell membranes and induce lipid peroxidation, due to the increased accumulation of ROS (Rellán-Álvarez et al., 2006; Chamseddine et al., 2009).

However, plants possess defense systems; this defense systems in plants include both enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense systems, that work in concert to control cascades of uncontrolled oxidation and protect plant cells from oxidative damage (Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2012). Antioxidant enzymes are fundamental, they catalyze or participate directly in generation of ROS (Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Gill et al., 2013). Antioxidant enzymes in plants include superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.9), catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6), ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC 1.11.1.11), and guaiacol peroxidase (GPX, EC 1.11.1.9). Plants use this defense systems to counteract the effects of oxidative stress caused by heavy metals (Sharma and Chakraverty, 2013). Because the O⁺ radical is usually the first to be generated (Gill and Tuteja, 2010), the SOD enzyme is the first line of defense as it converts and eliminate radical O₂⁻⁻ to H₂O₂ (Muradoglu et al., 1015). However, H₂O₂ is also toxic to cells, so it is necessary to remove it from cells. The enzymes involved in this process (CAT, APX and GPX) convert H₂O₂ into water and oxygen. These biological processes entail maintaining a constant balance between the antioxidant systems and ROS content so that the radicals remain at levels compatible with cellular metabolism (Halliwell, 2006). In this sense, the equilibrium between a plant's oxidative and anti-oxidative capacities determines its fate.

Likewise, Cd affects the morpho-physiological and biochemical processes of plants, such as germination, growth and the root/shoot ratio (Ashraf *et al.*, 2015) and can causes a decrease in biomass production (Ammar *et al.*, 2008). This can result in a decrease in the production of some crops to economic importance such as bean cultivation (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Because of this, the aim of the present study was to assess the response of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants to oxidative stress caused by cadmium, and its effects on protein content, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymes in leaves and roots.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material, growth conditions and experimental design

Plants produced by seeds were grown in semi-hydroponic conditions in plastic pots with perlite and peat moss (3:1) as substrate. The pots were kept in a greenhouse under natural light conditions. The composition of the nutrient solution applied it was done according to Chaoui *et al.* (1997) at pH 5.5; pots were provided daily with 200 mL of the nutrient solution. Once the first trifoliate leaf appeared, Cd was added to the nutrient solution as Cd(NO₃)₂. The treatments were: 0 (control), 0.25 μ M, 0.50 μ M, and 1 μ M of Cd. After 15 days of adding Cd, leaves were cut and roots were carefully separated from the substrate.

Cadmium content determination

Roots and leaves were washed with deionized water and dried in an oven at 80°C. Then, samples were ground and stored in polyethylene bags until used in acid digestion. Approximately 0.5 g (DW) of sample was taken and digested in 15 mL of concentrated HNO₃ in a microwave digestor (MARS 5 CEM). Potency was 1,200 W, pressure 195 psi, and temperature 210°C. The ramp and hold times were set at 15 and 10 min, respectively. The solution was then filtered through 42 µm filters, and deionized water was added to a final volume of 100 mL. Cd content was determined using Microwave Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (MP-AES) (Model 4200, Agilent Technologies). All concentrations of Cd in leaves and roots were reported in mg kg⁻¹.

H₂O₂ content, lipid peroxidation and enzyme assays

H₂O₂ was estimated following the method of Jana and Choudhuri (1981) and lipid peroxidation was determined by measuring malondialdehyde (MDA) using the method of Gérard-Monnier et al. (1998). The MDA concentration was determined at 1,1,3,3-Tetramethoxypropane (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3 and 4 µM) as a standard curve. For the determination of the enzymatic activity 0.5 g of fresh tissue of leaves and roots were taken and was homogenized in 1 mL of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8) containing 1 mM of EDTA and 2% (w/v) polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP), using a chilled mortar and pestle, and then stored in an ice bath. The homogenate was centrifuged at 15,000 g at 4°C for 30 min (HERMELE Labnet, Z233 MK-2). The supernatant was used to determine SOD, CAT, APX and GPX activity, and soluble protein content. To measure APX (ascorbate peroxidase) activity, the tissue was ground separately in a homogenizing medium containing 2.0 mM of ascorbate and the other ingredients (Balestri et al., 2014) all assays were performed at 25°C. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was determined following Beyer and Fridovich (1987); catalase (CAT) activity was measured following Aebi (1981); ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity was assayed according to Nakano and Asada (1981) and guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) activity was determined according to Kato and Shimizu (1987). Protein content was determined using Direct Detect[®] Infrared Spec-



trometer equipment with Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as the standard.

Statistical analysis

Data were based from ten independent samples of each treatment (control, 0.25 μ M, 0.50 μ M and 1 μ M); data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Normality was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the homogeneity of variance was tested using Levene's test. Statistical analyses were carried out by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Games–Howell test for multiple comparisons using SPSS 20.0 software. A significant difference was considered at level p < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cadmium content

Cd concentrations in roots increased significantly (p < 0.001) at higher concentrations of this contaminant in the nutrient solution; when adding 0.25 μ M of Cd, the content in roots was 2.96 \pm 0.54 mg kg⁻¹; at 0.50 μ M, it was 6.52 \pm 0.66 mg kg⁻¹; and at 1 μ M, 21.08 \pm 2.84 mg kg⁻¹. However, no Cd was detected in leaves at any of the Cd concentrations after two weeks of contamination (Table 1). On the other hand, the length of root was reduced with the addition of 0.25 and 0.50 μ M of Cd in the nutrient solution, but at 1 μ M, the length was greater (Fig. 1). In leaves symptoms of phytotoxicity were observed caused by Cd although Cd was not detected, also leaves showed symptoms like chlorosis and necrosis, so the possibility of a very low concentration of Cd in leaves is not discarded (Fig. 2).

Nevertheless, it has been reported that Cd influences the absorption and transport of nutrients and compete with their transport. This is because nutrient transporters show a wide specificity with divalent metals, including Fe⁺², Zn⁺², Mn⁺² and Cd⁺² (Liu *et al.*, 2017). The mechanism by which Cd inhibits the uptake of essential nutrients is not completely clear (Hédiji *et al.*, 2015). It is assumed that Cd may interfere with nutrient uptake by affecting the permeability of plasma membrane and modify the activity of nutrient transporters, leads to changes in its concentration and composition (Boulila-Zoghlami *et al.*, 2006; López-Millán *et al.*, 2009; Hédiji *et al.*, 2015). These interactions can cause serious nutritional deficiencies and the symptoms of chlorosis and necrosis, it can not only be due to the phytotoxicity produced by Cd,

Table 1. Cadmium content (mg kg⁻¹) in roots and leaves of *Phaseolus*vulgaris plants.

Tabla 1. Contenido de cadmio (mg kg⁻¹) en raíces y hojas de plantas de *Phaseolus vulgaris*.

Organ	Control	0.25 μ Μ	0.50 μ Μ	1 μ Μ
Roots	ND	2.96 ± 0.54°	$6.52 \pm 0.66^{\text{b}}$	$21.08\pm2.84^{\text{a}}$
Leaves	ND	ND	ND	ND

ND = Not detectable

Data presented as means \pm SD (n = 10); different letters indicate significant differences in each treatment.





Fig. 1. Phytotoxic effects caused by the accumulation of cadmium in *Phaseolus vulgaris* leaves. Control (A), 0.25 μ M (A) 0.50 μ M (B) and 1 μ M (C). **Fig. 1.** Efectos fitotóxicos causados por la acumulación de cadmio en las hojas de *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Control (A), 0.25 μ M (B) 0.50 μ M (C) y 1 μ M (D).

being as the nutritional balance in *P. vulgaris* leaves and roots could be altered.

Oxidative stress

Exposure to Cd resulted in a significant increase (p < 0.001) in H_2O_2 content, which was greater at higher Cd concentrations. The highest production of H_2O_2 in roots and leaves was in plants treated with 1 µM Cd; however, H_2O_2 concentrations were always higher in roots than in leaves under all treatments (Fig. 3). The results obtained show that Cd significantly increased (p < 0.001) MDA content in roots and leaves of *P. vulgaris* (Fig. 4A). In roots, MDA content increased 2.0, 3.1 and 5.38 times more than in control (0.25, 0.50 and 1 µM Cd, respectively), while the increases in leaves were 1.6, 4.1 and 7.7, compared to control. Contrary to H_2O_2 content, lipid peroxidation was more notable in leaves under all treatments. Finally, protein content decreased significantly (p < 0.001) in leaves when adding 0.50 and 1 µM of Cd, with respect to the control (Fig. 4B). However, no significant dif-



Fig. 2. Phytotoxic effects caused by the accumulation of cadmium in *Phaseolus vulgaris* roots. Control (A), 0.25 μ M (B) 0.50 μ M (C) and 1 μ M (D). **Fig. 2.** Efectos fitotóxicos causados por la acumulación de cadmio en las raíces de *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Control (A), 0.25 μ M (B) 0.50 μ M (C) y 1 μ M (D).



Fig. 3. Hydrogen peroxide content in leaves and roots of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants exposed to cadmium. Data presented as means \pm SD (n = 10); different letters indicate significant differences in each treatment. **Fig. 3.** Contenido de peróxido de hidrógeno en las hojas y raíces de las plantas *Phaseolus vulgaris* expuestas al cadmio. Los datos son presentados como medias \pm DE (n = 10); letras diferentes indican diferencias significativas en cada tratamiento.



Fig. 4. Malondialdehyde (A) and protein (B) content in leaves and roots of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants exposed to cadmium. Data presented as means \pm SD (n = 10); different letters indicate significant differences between treatments.

Fig. 4. Contenido de malondialdehído (A) y proteína (B) en las hojas y raíces de plantas de *Phaseolus vulgaris* expuestas al cadmio. Los datos son presentados como medias \pm DE (n = 10); letras diferentes indican diferencias significativas entre tratamientos.

ferences were observed between treatments with 0.50 and 1 μ M of Cd. In roots, as in leaves, protein content decreased with respect to the control upon adding 0.25, 0.50 and 1 μ M of Cd (p = 0.036; p = 0.035; p = 0.041, respectively).

Both in leaves and roots of *P. vulgaris*, the increase in Cd concentrations significantly increased the generation of H₂O₂ and caused lipid peroxidation with the increase in MDA; in leaves a greater induction of MDA was observed and in roots, of H₂O₂. Evidence has been reported suggesting that Cd toxicity takes the form of oxidative stress caused by ROS production (Sanità di Toppi and Gabbrielli, 1999; Gao et al., 2010). Cd is not involved in cellular redox reactions and does not produce ROS directly. However, biochemical and transcriptomic studies show that oxidative stress is one of the first consequences of Cd toxicity in plants and other organisms (Romero-Puertas et al., 2019). Although Cd is not a transition metal, it commonly causes oxidative stress in plants, but the way to conducting cell damage is far to be elucidated (Gallego et al., 1996; Groppa et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2007; lannone et al., 2010).

In plants, H_2O_2 is continuously produced as a product of various metabolic reactions. On the other hand, it is important to keep in mind that Cd in leaves was not detected in any of the treatments, but despite this there were increases in the content of MDA, H_2O_2 and enzymatic activity. This could be explained by the fact that H_2O_2 can act as a local and



systemic signaling molecule against oxidative stress caused by exposure to heavy metals (Wang *et al.*, 2006; Zayneb *et al.*, 2015). ROS can also serve as signaling molecules, and a possible mitigating effect of H_2O_2 derived from Cd stressors has been proposed (Gill and Tuteja, 2010). In recent years, several studies have focused on the role of H_2O_2 in response to tolerance for a wide range of stress conditions, so it is interesting to see what happens in response to internal and external stimuli to improve stress tolerance (Cuypers *et al.*, 2016). This seems to be used positively in plants to activate multiple stress-sensitive genes, so it is widely accepted that H_2O_2 is one of the signaling molecules due to its high stability and mobility (Christou *et al.*, 2014). However, in high concentrations, H_2O_2 is harmful to plants and leads to programmed cell death (Gill and Tuteja, 2010).

Antioxidant enzymes

The effect on SOD activity (Fig. 5A) in roots were significant with the application of 0.25 μ M of Cd (p < 0.001), as it increased 9.1 times more than in the control; whereas at 0.50 and 1 μ M Cd, activity was 8.5 and 5.9 times greater than in the control, respectively. In leaves, the activity of this enzyme increased at higher concentrations, and significant differences were found compared to the control in all Cd treatments (p < 0.001). CAT activity (Fig. 5B) in roots was significantly higher (p < 0.001) in the treatment with 0.25 μ M of Cd (6.9 times more than in the control) but decreased with the application of 0.50 and 1 μ M Cd. No significant differences were observed between these two treatments (p = 0.340). In contrast, the greatest activity of this enzyme in leaves occurred in treatments with 0.50 and 1 μ M of Cd (11.6 and 12.1 times more, respectively), compared to the control (p < 0.001). However,



Fig. 5. Antioxidant response in leaves and roots of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants exposed to Cd. Superoxide dismutase (A); catalase (B); guaiacol peroxidase (C); and ascorbate peroxidase (D). Data presented as means \pm SD (n = 10); different letters indicate significant differences between treatments.

Fig. 5. Respuesta antioxidante en las hojas y raíces de plantas *Phaseolus vulgaris* expuestas a Cd. Superóxido dismutasa (A); catalasa (B); guaiacol peroxidasa (C); y ascorbato peroxidasa (D). Los datos son presentados como medias ± DE (n = 10); letras diferentes indican diferencias significativas entre tratamientos.



no significant differences were found (p = 0.996) between these two treatments (0.50 and 1 μ M). Regarding GPX activity (Fig. 5C), the study found significant differences in roots among all treatments (p < 0.001). The highest activity was in the treatment with 0.25 µM of Cd (2.6 times compared to the control) but was inhibited in treatments with 0.50 and 1 μ M of Cd. In leaves, this enzyme's activity was lower than in roots under all treatments. However, in the treatment with 1 µM of Cd it was 8.1 times higher than in the control (p < 0.001). Finally, APX activity (Fig. 5D) was significantly higher in roots (p < 0.01) at the concentration of 0.25 μ M of Cd, compared to all other treatments. In treatments with 0.50 and 1 µM of Cd. this enzyme's activity was inhibited; as shown by the finding that concentrations increased. In terms of leaves, APX activity increased at concentrations. The highest activity was with 1 µM of Cd (11 times more than the control).

The enzymes considered most important to eliminate intracellular H₂O₂ levels in plants are CAT, APX and GPX (Alfadul and Al-Fredan, 2013). Like SOD, these enzymes showed a different behavior between leaves and roots of P. vulgaris. The APX and GPX activity was higher in roots when adding 0.25 µM of Cd, since at 0.50 µM this activity decreased, although it was always greater than in the control. Regarding CAT, it was inhibited in roots with the addition of 0.50 μ M of Cd, in contrast to leaves where the highest activity was at 0.50 and 1 µM. The decrease in CAT activity in roots of P. vulgaris can be compensated with a higher APX and GPX activity (0.25 µM Cd), indicating that these two enzymes can act simultaneously. Therefore, the decrease in CAT with the increase in H₂O₂ in roots suggests the sensitivity of this enzyme to Cd (Roychoudhury et al., 2012). A higher H₂O₂ concentration was observed in roots than in leaves of P. vulgaris. In addition, the sensitivity of CAT to O," radicals and Cd levels can cause its inactivation (Nouairi et al., 2009).

On the other hand, APX plays an important role in the elimination of H2O2, but its activity depends on the concentration of metal, and its main function is to quickly eliminate H2O2 at the site where it is generated (Asada, 1992; Gill et al., 2013). GPX can be induced by toxicity generated by heavy metals and is more efficient than CAT in the elimination of H2O2 (Wang et al., 2010; Nadgórska-Socha et al., 2013). However, it was observed that the CAT enzyme proved to be more efficient in removing H2O2 in leaves than in roots of P. vulgaris. Depending on Cd concentration and plant species, Cd may inhibit or stimulate the activity of several antioxidative enzymes before any visible symptoms of toxicity appear (Anjum et al., 2011; Gill et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2014). Excessive levels of H₂O₂ could be minimized through the activities of APX and GPX; it may be due to the damage to enzymatic proteins due to the excess of radicals (Lou et al., 2011). This could explain the fact that in roots after the addition of 0.50 µM Cd, the excess of free radicals inhibited the enzymatic activity of APX and GPX.

Other studies have also observed increases or decreases in enzymatic activities at different concentrations of metals. In the case of *Brassica juncea*, the activity of CAT and GPX progressively decreased in leaves exposed to 30 μ M of Cd, but APX activity was induced (Markovska *et al.*, 2009). Bankaji *et al.* (2015) showed that the excess of Cd or Cu mainly decreased the enzymatic activity of CAT, GPX and APX in the leaves of *Suaeda fruticosa*, suggesting that antioxidant systems are altered by the action of heavy metals. Likewise, the patterns produced in response to the stress caused by heavy metals vary in the different organs of the plants, as was seen in the case of *P. vulgaris*.

These differences in enzymatic activity can be explained by the fact that roots are the first organ to come into direct contact, so a quick and effective response is important in response to oxidative stress caused by this type of contaminants (Souza *et al.*, 2015). Some studies have shown that SOD activity increases due to the stress produced by Cd, which was observed in *P. vulgaris* leaves; however, this enzyme was inhibited in roots by adding 0.50 and 1 μ M of Cd. This reduction may be due to the inactivation of SOD by the action of H₂O₂ (Namdjoyan *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, the H₂O₂ produced by SOD must be rapidly metabolized, since it is still toxic and needs to be converted into H₂O and O₂ by other enzymes (Rahoui *et al.*, 2014).

In the other hand, it is also important to note that no Cd was detected in the leaves in any treatment, though increases in MDA and H₂O₂ content and enzymatic activity were observed. This could be explained by the fact that H₂O₂ can act as a local and systemic signaling molecule against oxidative stress caused by exposure to heavy metals (Wang et al., 2006; Zayneb et al., 2015). ROS can also serve as signaling molecules, and a possible mitigating effect of H₂O₂ derived from the stress factors of Cd has been proposed (Gill and Tuteja, 2010). In recent years, several studies have focused on the role of H₂O₂ as a response to tolerance for a wide range of stress conditions, so it is interesting to see what is produced in response to internal and external stimuli in order to improve tolerance of stress (Cuypers et al., 2016). This seems to be used positively in plants to activate multiple stress-sensitive genes, which is why it is widely-accepted that H₂O₂ is one of the signaling molecules due to its high stability and mobility (Christou et al., 2014). However, at high concentrations, H₂O₂ is actually harmful to plants, and leads to programmed cell death (Gill and Tuteja, 2010).

The results of this study show that although cadmium was deposited in roots but not detected in leaves, an enzymatic antioxidant response was observed in both roots and leaves of *P. vulgaris*. This could be due to the induction of systematic signaling mechanisms due to oxidative stress that occurs at roots as a means to protect the leaves. However, it is possible that Cd accumulates at such low concentrations in the leaves, so it was not detected.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results suggest the generation of oxidative stress caused by Cd by the accumulation of MDA and H_2O_2 in leaves and roots. However, the antioxidant defense system in the roots of *P. vulgaris* was not effective enough in the elimina-



tion of free radicals generated with the addition of 0.50 and 1 μM of Cd.

Regarding the enzymatic activity in leaves, there was an increase in all concentrations with Cd, although this contaminant was not detected, could be due to the induction of systematic signaling mechanisms caused by oxidative stress as a means to protect the leaves. Therefore, it is necessary to carry out further studies on oxidative stress and the antioxidant response at low concentrations of Cd and gain a better understanding of the connection between ROS production, antioxidant defense mechanisms and signaling mechanisms in plants.

REFERENCES

- Aebi, H. 1984. Catalase in vitro. Methods in Enzymology 105: 121-126.
- Asada, K. 1992. Ascorbate peroxidase: A hydrogen peroxide scavenging enzyme in plants. Physiolgia Plantarum. 85: 235-241.
- Ashraf, U., Kanu, A.S., Mo, Z., Hussain, S., Anjum S.A., Khan, I., Abbas, R.N., Tang, X. 2015. Lead toxicity in rice: effects, mechanisms, and mitigation strategies—a mini review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 22: 18318-18332.
- Balestri, M., Bottega, S., Spanò, C. 2014. Response of *Pteria vittata* to different cadmium treatment. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 36: 767-775.
- Bankaji, I., Caçador, I., Sleimi, N. 2015. Physiological and biochemical responses of *Sauceda fruticosa* to cadmium and copper stresses: growth, nutrient uptake, antioxidant enzymes, phytochelatin and glutathione levels. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 22: 13058-13069.
- Beyer, W.F., Fridovich, I. 1987. Assaying for superoxide dismutase activity: some large consequences of minor changes in conditions. Anal Biochemistry. 161: 559-566.
- Bhaduri, A., Fulekar, M.H. 2012. Antioxidant enzyme responses of plants to heavy metal stress. Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology. 11: 55-69.
- Christou, A., Manganaris, G.A., Fotopoulos, V. 2014. Systemic mitigation of salt stress by hydrogen peroxide and sodium nitroprusside in strawberry plants via transcriptional regulation of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 107: 46-54.
- Cuypers, A., Hendrix, S., Amaral dos Reis, R., De Smet, S., Deckers, J., Gielen, H., Jozefczak, M., Loix, C., Vercampt, H., Vangronsveld, J., Keunen, E. 2016. Hydrogen peroxide, signaling in disguise during metal phytotoxicity. Frontiers in Plant Science. 7: 470.
- Deng, G., Li, M., Li, H., Yin, L., Li, W. 2014. Exposure to cadmium causes declines in growth and photosynthesis in the endangered aquatic fern (*Ceratopteris pteriodoides*). Aquatic Botany. 112: 23-32.
- Gallego, S.M., Benavides, M.P., Tomaro, M.L. 1996. Effect of heavy metal ion excess on sunflower leaves: evidence for involvement of oxidative stress. Plant Science. 121:151-159.
- Gérard-Monnier, D., Erdelmeier, I., Régnard, K., Moze-Henry, N., Yadan, J.C., Chaudière, J. 1998. Reactions of 1-Methyl-2phenylindole with malondialdehyde and 4-Hydroxyalkenals. Analytical Applications to a colorimetric assay of lipid

peroxidation. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 11: 1176-1183._

- Gill, S.S., Anjum, N.A., Gill, R., Hasanuzzaman, M., Sharma, P., Tuteja, N. 2013. Mechanism of Cadmium Toxicity and Tolerance in Crop Plants. In: Tuteja, N., Gill, S.S. (eds) Crop Improvement Under Adverse Conditions. pp 361-385. Springer Science+Business Media New York.
- Gill, S.S., Tuteja, N. 2010. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 48: 909-930.
- Groppa, M.D., Tomaro, M.L., Benavides, M.P. 2007. Polyamines and heavy metal stress: the antioxidant behavior of spermine in cadmium- and copper-treated wheat leaves. Biometals. 20:185-195.
- Halliwell, B. 2006. Reactive species and antioxidants. Redox biology is a fundamental theme of aerobic life. Plant Physiology. 141: 312-322.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Hossain, M.A., Teixeira da Silva, J.A., Fujita,
 M. 2012. Plant response and tolerance to abiotic oxidative stress: antioxidant defense is a key factor. In: Venkateswarlu,
 B. et al. (eds) Crop stress and its management: perspectives and strategies. pp. 261-315. Springer Science+Business Media B.V.
- Hu, J.Z., Pei, D.L., Liang, F., Shi, G.X. 2009. Growth responses of *Sagittaria sagittifolia* L. plants to water contamination with cadmium. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology. 56 (5): 686-694.
- Iannone, M.F., Rosales, E.P., Groppa, M.D., Benavides, M.P. 2010. Reactive oxygen species formation and cell death in catalase-deficient tobacco leaf disks exposed to cadmium. Protoplasma. 245: 15-27.
- Januškaitienė, I. 2014. The dynamics of photosynthetic parameters of *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Vicia fabo* under strong cadmium stress. Biologija. 60 (3): 155-164.
- Liu, H., Zhang, C., Wang, J., Zhou, C., Feng, H., Mahajan, M.D., Han, X. 2017. Influence and interaction of iron and cadmium on photosynthesis and antioxidative enzymes in two rice cultivars. Chemosphere. 171: 240-247.
- López-Millán, A.F., Sagardoy, R., Solanas, M., Abadía, A., Abadía, J. 2009. Cadmium toxicity in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) plants grown in hydroponics. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 65: 376-385.
- Lu, Y., Li, X., He, M., Zhao, X., Liu, Y., Cui, Y., Pan, Y., Tan, H. 2010. Seedlings growth and antioxidative enzymes activities in leaves under heavy metal stress between two desert plants: a perennial (*Peganum harmala*) and an annual (*Halogeton glomeratus*) grass. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 32: 538-590.
- Muradoglu, F., Gundogdu, M., Ercisli, S., Enuc, T., Balta, F., Jaafar H.Z.E. 2015. Cadmium toxicity affects chlorophyll a and b content, antioxidant enzyme activities and mineral nutrient accumulation in strawberry. Biological Research. 48: 11.
- Nadgórska-Socha, A., Kafel, A., Kandziora-Ciupa, M., Gospodarek, J., Zawisza-Raszka, A. 2013. Accumulation of heavy metals and antioxidant responses in *Vicia faba* plants grown on monometallic contaminated soil. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 20: 1124-1134.
- Nakano, Y., Asada, K. 1981. Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplast. Plant and Cell Physiology. 22: 867-880.
- Namdjoyan, S.H., Khavari-Nejad, R.A., Bernard, F., Nejadsattari, T., Shaker, H. 2011. Antioxidant defense mechanisms in

response to cadmium treatment in two safflower cultivars. Russian Journal Plant Physiology. 58 (3): 467-477.

- Nogueirol, C.R., Monteiro, F.A., Gratão, P.L., de Alcântara da Silva, B.K., Azevedo, R.A. 2016. Cadmium application in tomato: nutritional imbalance and oxidative stress. Water Air and Soil Pollution, 227:210.
- Nouairi, I., Ammar, W.B., Youssef, N.B., Miled, D.D.B., Ghorbal, M.H., Zarrouk, M. 2009. Antioxidant defense system in leaves of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) and rape (*Brassica napus*) under cadmium stress. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 31: 237-247.
- Rahoui, S., Ben, C., Chaoui, A., Martinez, Y., Yamchi, A., Richauer, M., Gentzbittel, L., El Ferjani, E. 2014. Oxidative injury and antioxidant genes regulation in cadmium-exposed radicles of six contrasted *Medicago truncatula* genotypes. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 21: 8070-8083.
- Rellán-Álvarez, R., Ortega-Villasante, C., Álvarez-Fernández, A., Del Campo, F.F., Hernández, L.E. 2006. Stress Responses of *Zea mays* to Cadmium and Mercury. Plant and Soil. 279:41-50.
- Romero-Puertas, M.C., Terrón-Camero, L.C., Peláez-Vico, M.A., Olmedilla, A., Sandalio, L.M. 2019. Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species as key indicators of plant responses to Cd stress. Environmental Experimental Botany. 161: 107-199.
- Roychoudhury, A., Basu, S., Sengupta, D.N. 2012. Antioxidants and stress-related metabolites in the seedlings of two indica rice varieties exposed to cadmium chloride toxicity. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 34: 835-847.
- Sanità-Toppi, L. Gabbrielli, R. 1999. Response to cadmium in higher plants, Environmental and Experimental Botany. 41: 105-130.
- Shahid, M., Pourrut, B., Dumat, C., Nadeem, M., Aslam, M., Pinelli,
 E. 2014. Heavy-metal-induced reactive oxygen species: phytotoxicity and physicochemical changes in plants.
 In: Whitacre, D.M. et al. (eds) Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. pp 1-44. Springer International Publishing Switzerland.
- Sharma, J., Chakraverty, N. 2013. Mechanism of plant tolerance in response to heavy metals. In: Rout, G.R., Das, A.B. (eds) Molecular Stress Physiology of Plants. pp. 289-308. New Delhi, India: Springer.
- Souza, L.A., Piotto, F.A., Dourado, M.N., Schmidt, D., Franco, M.R., Boaretto, L.F., Tezotto, T., Ferrieira, R.R., Azevedo, R.A. 2015. Physiological and biochemical responses of *Dolichos lablab* L. to cadmium support its potential as a cadmium phytoremediator. Journals of Soils and Sediments. 17 (5): 1413-1426.
- Štolfa, I., Pfeiffer, T.Ž., Špoljarić, D., Teklić, T., Lončarić, Z. 2015. Heavy metal induced oxidative stress in plants: response of the antioxidative system. In: Gupta, D.K. et al. (eds) Reactive oxygen species and oxidative damage in plants under stress. pp 127-163. Springer International Publishing Switzerland.
- Sytar, O., Kumar, A., Latowski, D., Kucynska, P., Strazałka, K., Prasad, M.N.V. 2013. Heavy metal-induced oxidative damage, defense reactions, and detoxification mechanisms in plants. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 35: 985-999.
- Wang, C., Tian, Y., Wang, X., Geng, J., Jiang, J., Yu, H., Wang, C. 2010. Lead contaminated soil induced oxidative stress, defense response and its indicative biomarkers in roots of *Vicia faba* seedlings. Ecotoxicology. 19: 1130-1139.

- Wang, H., Zhao, S.C., Liu, R.L., Zhou, W., Jin, J.Y. 2009. Changes of photosynthetic activities of maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings in response to cadmium stress. Photosynthetica. 47 (2): 277-283.
- Wang, Y., Feng, H., Qu, Y., Cheng, J., Zhao, Z., Zhang, M., Wang, X., An, L. 2006. The relationship between reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide in ultraviolet-B-induced ethylene production in leaves of maize seedlings. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 57: 51-61.
- Xu, X., Liu, C., Zhao, X., Li, R., Deng, W. 2014. Involvement of an antioxidant defense system in the adaptative response to cadmium in maize seedlings (*Zea Mays* L.). Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 93: 618-624.
- Zayneb, C., Bassem, K., Zeineb, K., Grubb, C.D., Noureddine, D., Hafedh, M., Amine, E. 2015. Physiological responses of fenugreek seedling and plants treated with cadmium. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 22: 10679-10689.
- Gill, S.S., Khan, N.A., Tuteja, N. 2012. Cadmium at high dose perturbs growth, photosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism while at low dose it up regulates sulfur assimilation and antioxidant machinery in garden cress (*Lepidium sativum* L.). Plant Science. 182:112-120.
- Jana, S., Choudhuri, M.A. 1981. Glycolate metabolism of three submerged aquatic angiosperms during aging. Aquatic Botany. 12: 345-354.
- Kato, M., Shimizu, S. 1987. Chlorophyll metabolism in higher plants. VII. Chlorophyll degradation in senescing tobacco leaves: phenolic dependent peroxidative degradation. Canadian Journal of Botany. 65: 729-735.
- Weckx, J.E.J., Clijsters, H.M.M. 1996. Oxidative damage and defense mechanisms in primary leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* as a result of root assimilation of toxic amounts of copper. Physiology Plantarum. 96: 506-512.
- Chaoui, A., Ghorbal, M.H., El Ferjani, E. 1997. Effects of cadmiumzinc interactions on hydroponically grown bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Plant Science. 126: 21-28.
- Boulila-Zoghlami, L., Djebali, W., Chaïbi, W., Ghorbel, M.H. 2006. Modifications physiologiques et structurales induites par l'interaction cadmium–calcium chez la tomate (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Comptes Rendus Biologies. 329: 702-711.
- Lin, R., Wang, X., Luo, Y., Du, W., Guo, H., Yin, D. 2007. Effects of soil cadmium on growth, oxidative stress and antioxidant system in wheat seedlings (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Chemosphere. 69:89-98.
- Ammar, W.B., Nouairi, I., Zarrouk, M., Ghorbel, M.H., Jemal F. 2008. Antioxidative response to cadmium in roots and leaves of tomato plants. Biologia Plantarum. 54 (4): 727-731.
- Chamseddine, M., Wided, B.A., Guy, H., Marie-Edith C., Fatma J. 2009. Cadmium and copper induction of oxidative stress and antioxidative response in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon*) leaves. Plant Growth Regulation. 57: 89-99.
- Gao, Y., Zhou, P., Mao, L., Shi, W.J., Zhi, Y.E. 2010. Phytoextraction of Cadmium and Physiological Changes in *Solanum nigrum* as a Novel Cadmium Hyperaccumulator. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology. 57 (4): 501-508.
- Anjum, N.A., Umar, S., Iqbal, M., Khan, N.A. 2011. Cadmium causes oxidative stress in mung bean by affecting the antioxidant enzyme system and ascorbate-glutathione cycle metabolism. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology. 58 (1): 92- 99.



- Lou, H., Li, H., Zhang, X., Fu, J. 2011. Antioxidant responses and gene expression in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) under cadmium stress. Ecotoxicology. 20: 770-778.
- Alfadul, S.M., Al-Fredan, M.A.A. 2013. Effects of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn combinations on *Phragmites australis* metabolism, metal accumulation and distribution. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering. 38: 11-19.
- Daud, M.K., Ali, S., Variath, M.T., Zhu, S.J. 2013. Differential physiological, ultramorphological and metabolic responses of cotton cultivars under cadmium stress. Chemosphere. 93: 2593-2602.
- Daud, M.K., Quiling, H., Lei, M., Ali, B., Zhu, S.J. 2015. Ultrastructural, metabolic and proteomic changes in leaves of upland cotton in response to cadmium stress. Chemosphere. 120: 309-320.
- Mandal, C., Ghosh, N., Dey, N., Adak, M.K., Banerjee S. 2015. Changes in physiological responses of *Hygrophila schulli* under cadmium toxicity. Agricultural Research. 4 (2): 171-182.
- Markovska, Y.K., Gorinova, N.I., Nedkovska, M.P., Miteva, K.M. 2009. Cadmium-induced oxidative damage and antioxidant responses in *Brassica juncea* plants. Biologia Plantarum. 53 (1): 151-154.

