Zinc oxide nanoparticle morphology modify germination and early growth of bell pepper seedlings

Morfología de nanopartículas de óxido de zinc modifica la germinación y el crecimiento temprano de plántulas de pimiento morrón

Magdaleno-García Guadalupe¹, Juárez-Maldonado Antonio², Betancourt-Galindo Rebeca³, González-Morales Susana¹, Cabrera-De La Fuente Marcelino¹, Sánchez-Vega Miriam⁴, Méndez-Lopez Alonso^{2*}

- ¹ Departamento de Horticultura, Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro, Saltillo 25315, Coahuila, México. lupita.magdaleno@hotmail.com; qfb_sgm@hotmail.com, orcid.org/0000-0001-5734-6086; cafum7@hotmail.com, orcid. org/0000-0001-9866-9244
- ² Departamento de Botánica, Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro, Saltillo 25315, Coahuila, México. juma841025@hotmail.com, orcid.org/0000-0003-3061-2297
- ³ Centro de Investigación en Química Aplicada, Blvd. Enrique Reyna Hermosillo No. 140, Saltillo 25294, Coahuila, México. rebeca.betancourt@ciqa.edu.mx, orcid.org/0000-0003-2550-2093
- ⁴ Departamento de Parasitología. Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro, Saltillo 25315, Coahuila, México. mirisanve@gmail.com, orcid.org/0000-0001-9866-9244; alonso1977@gmail.com, orcid.org/0000-0002-4356-0409

ABSTRACT

Nanotechnology has the potential to improve several processes in agriculture, including seed germination, growth, and crop productivity. In this work, the effect of priming RZ F1 (35-71) pepper seeds with zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) with different morphology: spherical and hexagonal, and at different doses, 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹, was studied. The study was carried out in two phases. The in vitro phase, where early growth variables were evaluated: germination percentage, radicle, plumule and hypocotyl length; and the greenhouse phase, where plant height, stem diameter, dry weight, leaf area, total chlorophyll, and phenols were evaluated, 45 days after planting. Seed priming with ZnO NPs showed a positive influence, with spherical morphology at a 100 mg L⁻¹ dose giving the best results for early growth parameters, as well as for plant height, stem diameter, leaf area, and total chlorophyll. These results suggest that ZnO NPs can be considered a seed conditioner to improve germination, early growth parameters and chlorophyll content.

Keywords: Nanoparticles, Morphology, Zinc, Seed priming, Bell pepper.

RESUMEN

La nanotecnología tiene el potencial para mejorar diversos procesos en la agricultura, entre ellos la germinación de semillas, el crecimiento y la productividad de los cultivos. En este trabajo se estudió el efecto del cebado de semillas de pimiento RZ F1 (35-71) con nanopartículas de óxido de zinc (NPs de ZnO) con diferente morfología: esférica y hexagonal, y diferentes dosis, 50 y 100 mg L⁻¹. El estudio se llevó a cabo en dos fases. Fase in vitro, donde se evaluaron variables de crecimiento temprano: porcentaje de germinación, longitud de radícula, plúmula e hipocótilo; Fase en invernadero, donde se evaluó altura de planta, diámetro de tallo, peso seco, área foliar, clorofila total y fenoles, a los 45 días de la siembra.

El cebado de semillas con NPs de ZnO mostró una influencia positiva, siendo la morfología esférica a dosis de 100 mg L⁻¹ la que dio los mejores resultados para los parámetros de crecimiento temprano, así como para la altura de planta, diámetro del tallo, área foliar y clorofila total. Estos resultados sugieren que las NPs de ZnO pueden considerarse un acondicionador de semillas para mejorar la germinación, los parámetros de crecimiento temprano y el contenido de clorofila.

Palabras clave: Nanopartículas, morfología, zinc, cebado de semillas, pimiento.

INTRODUCTION

Nanotechnology has the potential to revolutionize agriculture and play an important role in food and crop production (Parisi *et al.*, 2015). The term "nanotechnology" can be defined as the design, synthesis, manipulation and application of atomic or molecular aggregates between 1 and 100 nm (Hajra and Mondal, 2017). During the last years, a number of patents with nanoparticles (NPs) have been incorporated into agricultural practices, such as nano pesticides, nano fertilizers, and nano sensors, which have been developed with the collective objective of promoting efficiency and sustainability of agricultural practices, which requires less inputs and generate less waste than conventional products and approaches (Liu and Lal, 2015).

Essential microelements play an important role in plant growth, development and yield. Zinc is an essential micronutrient for humans, animals and plants, and the latter generally absorb Zn as a divalent cation (Zn⁺⁺). Zinc is necessary in protein biosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism, and plays an important role in gene expression related to environmental stress (Hawkesford and Barraclough, 2011).

NPs can be synthesized from a variety of bulk materials, and their actions depend on their morphology, surface area, size distribution and other parameters, which are the most

Volume XXV, Issue 3



remarkable key factors that can determine NPs activity (Abramenko et al., 2018; Brunner et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2021). It has been clearly demonstrated that the electromagnetic, optical, catalytic, sensory, thermal and mechanical properties are strongly influenced by their shape and size (Sau and Rogach, 2010). As their size decreases, NPs surface area increases (Khademalrasool et al., 2021). As for morphological change, it is a consequence of complex combinations of molecules, surfaces and crystals; in regards to this, a greater antibacterial activity of spherical NPs has been described as a result of the high surface/volume ratio and its isotropic geometry, compared to the anisotropic geometry (Agnihotri et al., 2014; Mokhtari et al., 2009; Shenashen et al., 2014). ZnO NPs morphology affects their interaction with cell membranes, as well as their cell penetration capacity (Peng et al., 2011). Therefore, nanoparticle shape may influence the way in which the nanomaterial will interact with the environment because of the geometric effect. Thus, the importance to understand how the physicochemical properties of the NPs are related to their biological interactions and functions.

Positive effects related to spherical and hexagonal ZnO nanoparticle use on the growth and guality of agricultural value crops, such as tomato and wheat, have been reported (Faizan et al., 2018; Munir et al., 2018; Pérez-Velasco et al., 2021). NPs can be applied to plants in different ways; however, seed priming is considered an easy method that can bring benefits during the early stages of growth (Mahakham et al., 2017). Seed priming stimulates processes involved in metabolism, prevents seed deterioration, breaks dormancy and induces a systematic resistance against biotic and abiotic stress, besides biochemical and physiological changes that occur when carrying out a seed priming treatment before germination and radicle emergence (Rehman et al., 2011). Priming is an approach that implies seed treatment with different inorganic chemical compounds or with low or high temperatures (Kamithi et al., 2016). Application of ZnO NPs for seed preparation on different crops, such as maize, where a 100 mg L⁻¹ dose improved germination and increased growth parameters has been reported (Itroutwar et al., 2020); whereas in wheat, a significant positive influence in yield, germination and vigor index was reported for a 10 mg L⁻¹ dose (Rai-Kalal and Jajoo, 2021).

Bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.), which belongs to the Solanaceae family, is a vegetable present in the diet of many populations around the world; and represents an important source of income for farmers and agro-processing sector operators (Fratianni *et al.*, 2020). In this context, this research aimed to study the effect of seed nano priming with two morphology types of ZnO NPs on germination and early growth of bell pepper seedlings.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Geographic location

Experimental testing was carried out in the Ecology Laboratory located in Plant Physiology area of the Botany Department at the Antonio Narro Autonomous Agrarian University, Buenavista, Saltillo, Coahuila, México.

Plant Material

Hybrid bell pepper seeds RZ F1 (35-171) were used, which is a bell pepper of excellent vigor and high production from the Rijk Zwaan seed company.

Synthesis and characterization of zinc oxide nanoparticles

Nanoparticle synthesis was done at the Applied Chemistry Research Center (CIQA). Zinc acetate dihydrate $(Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O, ZnAc, 99\%)$, triethylamine (TEA, 99\%), n-propilamine (C₃H₉N, 99.5\%), industrial use ethanol and triply-distilled deionized water were used. Sigma Aldrich provided all the reagents.

ZnO NPs were prepared according to the methodology described by González *et al.* (2021). The synthesis was made by mixing two solutions. The first contained 8.928 g of ZnAc dissolved in a water/TEA (300 mL/5.36 mL) mixture, and the second was a n-propilamine/etanol (1.42 mL/ 1700 mL) mixture. Both solutions were mixed and stirred at 80 °C for 6 or 12 h, depending on the desired structure. The precipitate was centrifuged, washed with ethanol to remove excess reagents, and dried overnight at room temperature for 12 h. ZnO NPs with different reaction times were prepared to obtain different spherical and hexagonal morphologies.

ZnO NPs crystalline structure was determined by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), performed in a Siemens D-5000 diffractometer (CuKa radiation, = 1,5418 Å, SIEMENS, Munich, GER). The size of the crystal was determined according to the Debye-Scherrer equation. ZnO NPs morphology was observed by High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (FEI Titan 80 – 300 kV HRTEM, Hillsboro, OR, USA).

Zinc oxide nanoparticle seed priming (spherical and hexagonal morphology)

Zinc oxide nanoparticle solutions were prepared from a spherical and hexagonal zinc oxide nanoparticle 5000 mg L⁻¹ stock solution by weighing 1 g of NPs; then, 200 mL of distilled water were added. Subsequently, the elements were dispersed with a sonicator (Vevor) for 30 min at 25 °C, in order to form a homogeneous suspension and avoid nanoparticle agglomeration. From this solution, 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹ dilutions were made.

The seeds were placed in 90 x 15 mm Petri dishes, with Whatman 1 (110 mm) filter discs and soaked in different concentrations of ZnO NPs (0, 50 y 100 mg L⁻¹). Fifteen mL of a ZnO NPs suspension from each treatment were taken, and then added to the seeds for the imbibition. The seeds were left in darkness at 28 \pm 1 °C for 18 h in an incubator (Model 12-140, Quincy Lab Inc.). Primed seeds were left to rest at room temperature until the original moisture content was reached. Distilled water was used for priming.

Germination stage

ZnO NPs primed seeds were placed in 90 x 15mm Petri dishes under the following treatments: T1: 0 mg L^{-1} , distilled water (control); T2: 50 mg L^{-1} spherical ZnO NPs; T3: 100 mg L^{-1} spherical ZnO NPs; T4: 50 mg L^{-1} hexagonal ZnO NPs; T5: 100 mg L^{-1} hexagonal ZnO NPs.

Ten seeds per dish per treatment were placed in Whatman 1 (110 mm) filter discs, considering six replicas per treatment. The seeds were germinated in darkness at 28 \pm 1 °C in an incubator (Model 12 - 140, Quincy Lab Inc.) (Upadhyaya et al., 2017).

After the radicle length exceeded 2 mm, germination parameters were registered daily. Germination rate was calculated as the relation between the number of sprouted seeds and the total amount of seeds sown, expressed as a percentage (Ng et al., 2012). Fourteen-day seedlings were measured, taking radicle, hypocotyl, and plumule length with a TRUPER LCD Display digital caliper (150 mm). The results were registered in mm (Abou-Zeid and Ismail, 2018).

Greenhouse stage (45 days)

Ten replicas of each treatment were taken from Petri dishes and seedlings were transplanted to Styrofoam cups with a 500 mL capacity, which contained a mixture of peat moss + perlite (1:1 v/v). Plant nutrition was provided since the transplant, with a Steiner solution (Steiner, 1961) at a 25 % concentration. The seedlings were kept for 45 d in a polycarbonate-covered greenhouse during their growth, with a 22 °C average temperature and a relative humidity of 56 %.

Measurement of variables

Agronomic variables were registered: plant height was taken from the stem base to the plant apex, with a 5-meter flexometer (Model PRO-5MEC, TRUPER) every five days from the transplant until 45 d after; stem diameter was measured 45 d after the transplant with an LCD Display digital caliper (TRUPER, 150 mm) and registered in mm; number of leaves were counted every five days from the transplant until 45 d after, at the end of the cycle; aerial part dry weight was determined at the end of the cycle, separating leaves and stem; these parts were placed in marked paper bags and dehydrated in a drying oven (Model GO1350C-1 Linderberg/ blue) at 80 °C for 24 h. The samples were weighed on an electronic scale (0.1/5000 g); for root dry weight, they were separated manually from the substrate in the cup, removing excess substrate with water. Then, roots were weighed in an electronic scale (0.1/5000 g). To obtain the dry weight, roots were placed in paper bags and dehydrated in a drying oven (Model GO1350C-1 Linderberg/blue) at 75 °C for 17 h. Finally, the samples were weighed on the scale.

Leaf area

Destructive plant testing was carried out and each leaflet from an individual plant was scanned with a leaf area meter (LI-3100C). The leaves were placed precisely and completely extended between the two transparent belts before scanning. This process guaranteed an accurate area representation in each measurement.

Chlorophyll content

Chlorophyll content was determined according to Nagata and Yamashita (1992). For this purpose, 0.1 g of lyophilized leaf tissue was used, mixed with 2 mL of hexane:acetone solution (3:2). An aliquot of the supernatant was taken, and the absorbances were measured at 645 and 663 nm. These results were used to determine chlorophyll content according to the equations:

 Chl a= .999 x Abs663-0.0989 x Abs645 Chl b= -0.328 x Abs663+1.77 x Abs645

Chl total= clorofila a+clorofila b

All data were expressed in mg 100 g⁻¹ dry weight.

Quantification of total phenolic content

Total phenolic content in leaf extract was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteau method, using gallic acid as a standard phenolic compound. The standard curve was obtained from serial dilutions from a 1000-ppm gallic acid stock solution. Phenolic compound quantification was determined according to the methodology of Singleton et al. (1999): 100 mg of extract were weighed in a 2 mL tube, and 1000 µL of water:acetone solution (1:1) were added. The sample was vortexed for 30 seconds, then sonicated (Ultrasonic Cleaner Branson 1510) for five minutes. The samples were centrifuged at 12500 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C in a microcentrifuge (Labnet Prism[™] R); the supernatant was extracted and, subsequently, 17 µL of extract and 70 µL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent were added to an Eppendorf tube, with 174 µL of 20 % sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₂). Then, 1740 µL of distilled water were added, the tube was vortexed for 30 sec and subsequently the samples were placed into a 45 °C water bath for 30 min. Absorbance was read at a 750 nm wavelength in a spectrophotometer (VE-5600 VELAB). Sample total phenolic content was expressed as milligram of gallic acid equivalents per gram, with the gallic acid (mg g⁻¹) calibration curve.

Statistical analysis

A completely random experimental design was used, with five treatments and six replicas, obtaining 30 experimental units. The experimental unit was a Petri dish with 10 seeds at the germination stage and a seedling with 10 replicas per treatment at the greenhouse stage. For data analysis, Infostat 2020 software was used (InfoStat 2020; Cordoba, Argentina). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a comparison of means using LSD Fisher test ($P \le 0.05$) were conducted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Characterization of zinc oxide NPs

The ZnO NPs morphology, observed by High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), reveals the presence of spherical NPs at 20.63 nm (Figure 1A). The histogram of the particle size distribution indicates that it ranges from 7.5 to 42.5 nm, with an average of 20 nm (Figure 1B). Moreover, for hexagonal NPs (Figure 2A), individual particles that range from 0.025 μ m to 2.5 μ m, with an average of 1.7





Figure 1. Transmission electron microscopy micrograph showing the spherical morphology of the ZnO NPs (A) and the particle size distribution histogram (B).



Figure 2. Transmission electron microscopy micrograph that shows the ZnO NPs hexagonal morphology (A) and particle size distribution histogram (B).

µm were shown. The morphology and size of the ZnO NPs observed are similar to the results reported by González *et al.* (2021), who conducted NPs synthesis to obtain spherical, hexagonal and rod NPs.

Effect of the ZnO NPs on germination

The results showed that germination percentage had statistically significant differences: the spherical nanoparticle treatment at 100 mg L⁻¹ had a 13 % increase compared to the control (Figure 3D). These results differ from those reported by García-López *et al.* (2018), where no statistical differences in germination percentage of pepper seeds were found for 100, 200, and 500 mg L⁻¹ 12-20 nm spherical zinc oxide nanoparticle concentrations. On the other hand, Mahakham *et* *al.* (2017) calculated seed germination index (GI) and germination rate (GR) and found that the nano priming treatment with spherical silver NPs at 10 and 20 mg L⁻¹ concentrations significantly increased the GI and GR values, compared to non-primed seeds. Nounjan *et al.* (2016), noted that priming treatment might improve seed water absorption since primed seeds showed faster imbibition than non-primed ones.

The mechanism behind high seed germination in nano priming is the higher penetration through the seed coat, which improves the efficiency of water and nutrient absorption (Dutta, 2018). As previously mentioned, morphology will have an impact on the interactions with cell membranes and, as for spherical NPs, will be due to their isotropic geometry that might provide higher reactivity (Agnihotri *et al.*, 2014; Peng *et al.*, 2011). Germination increase with spherical ZnO NPs treatment at 100 mg L⁻¹ in our research could be due to the NPs size, which is within a 20.63 nm range, approximately smaller size compared to the hexagonal NPs. The difference may be interpreted from the surface/volume correlation point of view (Méndez-López *et al.*, 2022), since reducing nanoparticle size increases surface area, which may show a better reaction and smaller NPs can release more ions than larger NPs (Xiu *et al.*, 2012).

It has been reported that water absorption during the seed germination process is essential because ripe seeds are in the latency phase and need enough amount of water to start the metabolism and cell growth (Bewley *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, an increase in germination percentage may be due to an increase on the level of aquaporin transcription after the nanoparticle seed priming treatment. Aquaporins (water channels) are transmembrane proteins that not only facilitate water diffusion through biological membranes and regulate water homeostasis, but also transport gases such as CO₂ and nutrients (Maurel *et al.*, 2015).

In commercial agriculture, fast and uniform germination and seedling emergence are important determinants for good crop establishment (Chen and Arora, 2013; Méndez-López *et al.*, 2022). The germination starts with water uptake by the dry ripe seed and ends with the embryonic axis elongation, usually the radicle, through the seed coat. The consequence is root protrusion, followed by shoot protrusion (Rajjou *et al.*, 2012).

The quantified results of radicle, hypocotyl and plumule length showed that spherical NPs at 100 mg L⁻¹ treatment caused a 79 % increase for radicle length and 22 % for hypocotyl, while hexagonal nanoparticle at 100 mg L⁻¹ treatment increased plumule length by 66 % compared to the control (Figures 3A, 3B, 3C). Li *et al.* (2021) reported similar results, indicating that root length improved substantially with 36 nm ZnO NPs at 50 mg L⁻¹ treatment. However, Singh *et al.* (2018) showed that growth increased at its maximum in 50 mg L⁻¹ treated seedlings; while above 100 mg L⁻¹, namely, 500 and 1000 mg L⁻¹, ± 36 nm ZnO NPs had phytotoxic effects on rice seedling growth.

Prasad *et al.* (2012) also reported an improvement in the growth, development, and yield of peanut plants with low concentrations of 25 nm spherical ZnO NPs, since the plants absorb them at a higher degree than bulk $ZnSO_4$. Moreover, it was reported that 18 nm ZnO NPs (20 and 30 mg L⁻¹) treated onion plants (*Allium cepa* L.) registered better and earlier growth than the control (Lawre *et al.*, 2014). Positive effects of zinc oxide NPs in early growth parameters



Figure 3. Radicle length (A), Hypocotyl length (B), Plumule length (C) and Germination percentage (D), of bell pepper seedlings at 14 DAS. NPs-S-50: spherical nanoparticles 50 mg L⁻¹, NPs-S-100: spherical nanoparticles 100 mg L⁻¹, NPs-H-50: hexagonal nanoparticles 50 mg L⁻¹, NPs-H-100: hexagonal nanoparticles 100 mg L⁻¹ Different letters indicate significant difference (LSD, \leq 0.05). Lines over the bars indicate mean standard error.



on bell pepper seedlings may be attributed to the action of zinc as an essential micronutrient for a variety of important activities in the cell membrane, such as cell elongation and protein biosynthesis in plant growth (Boonchuay *et al.*, 2013; Cakmak, 2000; Welch, 1982).

On the other hand, morphology has been described as an important factor in NPs, potentially affecting their interaction with cell membranes, as well as their cell penetration capacity (Peng *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, nanoparticle shape also affects their cell absorption. In this regard, it has been mentioned that spherical NPs show higher absorption rates compared to rod-shaped and cylindrical NPs (Chithrani and Chan, 2007; Zhao and Stenzel, 2018); although conflicting evidence has been reported, which suggests the complexity of the nano-bio interactions (Park *et al.*, 2008).

Nanoparticle physicochemical properties interact with biological systems. This means that morphology will have an impact by interacting with the plant; its interaction with cell membrane proteins and receptors is determined by the NP design, which in fact, affects cell uptake, gene expression and toxicity. NPs can interact with the cell surface membrane in multiple scenarios (Albanese *et al.*, 2012). Nanoparticle shape affects cellular uptake directly: stick-shaped NPs show greater uptake, followed by spherical, cylindrical and cubic NPs (Gratton *et al.*, 2008).

Early growth parameters of bell pepper seedlings

Plant early growth parameters, such as height, stem diameter, leaf number, aerial part dry weight, root dry weight and leaf area were affected by the zinc oxide NPs used in the research (Figure 4). The best results were obtained with spherical NP treatment at a 100 mg L⁻¹ concentration, with a 25 % increase in plant height, and a 15 % increase in stem diameter, compared to the control. As for leaf number, hexagonal NP treatment at 50 mg L⁻¹ showed the best results, with a 13 % increase (Figure 4). Regarding aerial part dry weight, spherical NP treatment at 100 mg L⁻¹ and hexagonal NP treatment at 50 mg L⁻¹ were proved to be statistically equal, with a 78 % and 85 % increase, respectively, compared to the control (Figure 4E). Meanwhile, for root dry weight, a 61 % increase was observed due to hexagonal NP treatment at 100 mg L⁻¹ (Figure 3D). Regarding leaf area, spherical NP treatment at a 100 mg L⁻¹ concentration stimulated a 13 % increase in comparison with the control (Figure 4F).

These results are similar to those of Munir *et al.* (2018), who reported that at a 100 mg L⁻¹ concentration of 34 nm hexagonal ZnO NPs, an increase in plant height, tiller number, spike length, shoot dry weight and root dry weight was observed. Likewise, an increase in plant growth on mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) was registered, by using 20 nm spherical NPs at 20 mg L⁻¹, in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*), with 10 nm spherical NPs, at 400 and 800 mg kg⁻¹. In addition, it has been mentioned that dry biomass significantly increases with the ZnO NPs application at low concentrations (Liu and Lal, 2015; Mahajan *et al.*, 2011; Zhao *et al.*, 2013). Faizan *et al.* (2018) reported that an 8 mg L⁻¹

Volume XXV, Issue 3

concentration of 35 nm spherical ZnO NPs increased leaf area by 29.9 % compared to the control on tomato plants. Leaf area is an important plant parameter, so both farmers and scientists can monitor or model plant growth and wellbeing (Pokovai and Fodor, 2019). Leaves represent most of the canopy surface of field crops and are the main interface for energy and mass exchange between the atmosphere and plants. The important processes, such as the canopy light interception, deposition of nutrients, transpiration, respiration and assimilation, are directly proportional to the leaf surface (Rundquist *et al.*, 2014).

ZnO NPs application through seed nano priming treatment improved vegetative growth on bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*), including seedling early growth variables. This improvement may be attributed to the Zn role in tryptophan synthesis, a prerequisite for the phytohormone indole-3-acetic acid (IAA). Zinc oxide NPs can also modulate the biosynthesis of the phytohormones cytokinins and gibberellins, which may lead to an increase in the number of internodes per plant (Sturikova *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, improved cell elongation may lead to an increase in plant height in the early stages of plant development (Sharifi, 2016). Mahdieh *et al.* (2018) reported maximum improvement in plant height on pinto bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) with ZnO NPs seed treatment.

Similarly, the effects can be attributed to the interaction of NPs with cell surface membranes; the interaction between the NP-bound ligands and cellular receptors depends on the designed geometry; thus, the NPs acts as a scaffold whose design dictates the number of ligands that will interact with the receptor target (Albanese *et al.*, 2012).

Non-enzymatic antioxidant compounds

The application of spherical nanoparticles induced an increase in chlorophyll content in leaves of bell pepper plants, obtaining the best results with a 100 mg L⁻¹ concentration. Chlorophyll a content in leaves was higher with spherical NP treatment at 100 mg L⁻¹, showing a 78 % increase compared to the control (Figure 5A). Meanwhile, chlorophyll b content showed a 92 % increase with spherical ZnO NP priming at 50 mg L⁻¹ (Figure 5B). Total chlorophyll content was higher with spherical nanoparticles at 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹, increasing by 59 and 56 %, respectively, compared to the control (Figure 5C). Regarding phenolic content, it increased with spherical NP treatment at 100 mg L⁻¹ and hexagonal NPs treatment at 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹, which were statistically equal between them but significantly superior to the control treatment (Figure 4). Our results differ from those reported by Chen et al. (2018), who evaluated 50 nm spherical ZnO NP treatments at 25, 50 and 100 mg L⁻¹, and registered a negative effect in chlorophyll synthesis and rice seedling growth in hydroponic culture. Munir et al. (2018), reported that total chlorophyll content increased with hexagonal NPs at 100 mg L⁻¹. In contrast, Faizan et al. (2018) state that photosynthetic pigments improved with ZnO NPs treatments, obtaining a maximum chlorophyll content observed in plants treated with 35 nm spherical NPs at 8 mg L⁻¹. Govorov and Carmeli (2007) repor-



Figure 4. Plant height (A), stem diameter (B), leaf number (C), root dry weight (D), leaf dry weight (E), leaf area (F) of bell pepper seedlings at 45 days. NPs-S-50: spherical nanoparticles 50 mg L⁻¹, NPs-S-100: spherical nanoparticles 100 mg L⁻¹, NPs-H-50: hexagonal nanoparticles 50 mg L⁻¹, NPs-H-100: hexagonal nanoparticles 100 mg L⁻¹. Different letters in bars indicate a significant difference (LSD, \leq 0.05). Lines over the bars indicate mean standard error.

ted that metallic NPs may induce the efficiency of chemical energy production in photosynthetic systems.

A positive effect of the NPs in chlorophyll synthesis may be associated with the essential role of Zn biosynthesis in this compound (Sturikova *et al.*, 2018) through the participation in LHC (light-harvesting complex) protein synthesis (Wang and Grimm, 2021), a family of proteins involved in chlorophyll synthesis regulation. Zinc also takes part in chloroplast development, as well as in the photosystem II repair process by recycling damaged D1 protein (Hänsch and Mendel, 2009). Besides, spherical NPs have been reported to regulate protein build-up, such as kinase 2 from the cell division cycle and protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase (Syu *et al.*, 2014). These results suggest that spherical morphology may have higher reactivity in the production of this enzyme, which catalyzes a vital step in chlorophyll biosynthesis, acting as a key regulator. Furthermore, the reduction of spherical NPs size leads to a surface area increase, which may be related with a higher photocatalytic activity (Nguyen *et al.*, 2021).

According to our results, ZnO NPs application in seed nano priming increased total phenols in bell pepper leaves. Phenylpropanoid pathway produces different secondary metabolites as defense mechanisms (Nazerieh *et al.*, 2018). Garza *et al.* (2021) studied the effect of moringa (*Moringa oleifera*)

Volume XXV, Issue 3





Figure 5. Chlorophyll a (A), chlorophyll b (B), total chlorophyll (C), and total phenols (D) of bell pepper seedlings at 45 DAS. NPs-S-50: spherical nanoparticles 50 mg L⁻¹, NPs-S-100: spherical nanoparticles 100 mg L⁻¹, NPs-H-50: hexagonal nanoparticles 50 mg L⁻¹, NPs-H-100: hexagonal nanoparticles 100 mg L⁻¹. Different letters in the bars indicate significant difference (LSD, \leq 0.05). The lines over the bars indicate mean standard error.

seed treatment with 16.49 nm spherical ZnO NPs at 7.5 and 10 mg L⁻¹ concentrations, reporting a significant increasing effect in phenolic content, of 13 and 11 % respectively, compared to the control. The increase in these compounds can be attributed to the interaction of nanomaterials with plant cells (Juárez-Maldonado *et al.*, 2019). Likewise, Zafar *et al.* (2016) carried out a study about the effect of ZnO NPs (1, 5,10, 20 mg L⁻¹) (100 nm) in black mustard (*Brassica nigra*) under *in vitro* conditions and a concentration-dependent increase in total phenol content was found. Phenolic compounds play an important role in the non-enzymatic system. When the plant undergoes heavy metal stress, in this case, due to the ZnO NPs, phenolic compounds may act as metal-chelating agents or suppress reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Michalak, 2006).

NPs properties depend mainly on size and shape. For instance, nanospheres have been reported to have high biological activity (Liu *et al.*, 2004). Besides, a nanoparticle of the same composition but a different nanostructure, in this case, nanosphere, may lead to a higher bio-availability of the elemental composition of NPs (Aguilar-Tapia and Zanella, 2018; Nel *et al.*, 2006).

CONCLUSIONS

The application of zinc oxide NPs as seed nanopriming improved germination and early growth of bell pepper

seedlings. Besides, ZnO NPs treatments proved to be better to increase total chlorophyll and phenolic content in leaf, generally with the application of spherical nanoparticles at 100 mg L⁻¹, therefore, nanoparticle use in seed treatment may help homogenize germination and improve bell pepper plant growth and development, acting as a stimulant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To the Antonio Narro Autonomous Agrarian University (UAAAN) for the internal project sponsor, code: 38111-425104001-2478.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this article.

REFERENCES

- Abou-Zeid, H. and Ismail, G. 2018. The role of priming with biosynthesized silver nanoparticles in the response of *Triticum aestivum* L. to salt stress. Egyptian Journal of Botany. 58(1): 73–85. https://doi.org/10.21608/ejbo.2017.1873.1128
- Abramenko, N.B., Demidova, T.B., Abkhalimov, E.V., Ershov, B.G., Krysanov, E.Y. and Kustov, L.M. 2018. Ecotoxicity of differentshaped silver nanoparticles: Case of zebrafish embryos. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 347: 89–94. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.12.060



- Agnihotri, S., Mukherji, S. and Mukherji, S. 2014. Size-controlled silver nanoparticles synthesized over the range 5-100 nm using the same protocol and their antibacterial efficacy. RSC Advances. 4(8): 3974–3983. https://doi.org/10.1039/ c3ra44507k
- Aguilar-Tapia, A. and Zanella, R. 2018. Las nanopartículas bimetálicas y algunas de sus aplicaciones. Mundo Nano. Revista Interdisciplinaria En Nanociencia y Nanotecnología. 10(19): 72. https://doi.org/10.22201/ ceiich.24485691e.2017.19.61783
- Albanese, A., Tang, P.S. and Chan, W.C. 2012. The effect of nanoparticle size, shape, and surface chemistry on biological systems. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering. 14: 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071811-150124
- Bewley, J.D., Bradford, K.J., Hilhorst, H.W. and Nonogaki, H. 2013. Seeds: Physiology of development, germination and dormancy, 3rd edition. Seed Science Research. 23: 289. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4693-4
- Boonchuay, P., Cakmak, I., Rerkasem, B. and Prom-U-Thai, C. 2013. Effect of different foliar zinc application at different growth stages on seed zinc concentration and its impact on seedling vigor in rice. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 59(2): 180–188. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2013.763382
- Brunner, T.J., Wick, P., Manser, P., Spohn, P., Grass, R.N., Limbach, L.K., Bruinink, A. and Stark, W.J. 2006. In vitro cytotoxicity of oxide nanoparticles: Comparison to asbestos, silica, and the effect of particle solubility. Environmental Science and Technology. 40(14): 4374–4381. https://doi.org/10.1021/ es052069i
- Cakmak, I. 2000. Tansley review no. 111: Possible roles of zinc in protecting plant cells from damage by reactive oxygen species. New Phytologist. 146(2): 185–205. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00630.x
- Chen, J., Dou, R., Yang, Z., You, T., Gao, X. and Wang, L. 2018. Phytotoxicity and bioaccumulation of zinc oxide nanoparticles in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 130: 604–612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2018.08.019.
- Chen, K. and Arora, R. 2013. Priming memory invokes seed stress-tolerance. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 94: 33–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2012.03.005
- Chithrani, B.D. and Chan, W.C. 2007. Elucidating the mechanism of cellular uptake and removal of protein-coated gold nanoparticles of different sizes and shapes. Nano Letters. 7(6): 1542–1550. https://doi.org/10.1021/nl070363y
- Dutta, P. 2018. Seed Priming: New Vistas and Contemporary Perspectives. In Advances in Seed Priming. Springer Nature. 1: 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0032-5
- Faizan, M., Faraz, A., Yusuf, M., Khan, S.T. and Hayat, S. 2018. Zinc oxide nanoparticle-mediated changes in photosynthetic efficiency and antioxidant system of tomato plants. Photosynthetica. 56(2): 678–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11099-017-0717-0
- Fratianni, F., D'acierno, A., Cozzolino, A., Spigno, P., Riccardi, R., Raimo, F., Pane, C., Zaccardelli, M., Lombardo, V.T., Tucci, M., Grillo, S., Coppola, R. and Nazzaro, F. 2020. Biochemical characterization of traditional varieties of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) of the campania region, southern italy. Antioxidants. 9(6): 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ANTIOX9060556

- Garciá-López, J.I., Zavala-Garcia, F., Olivares-Saénz, E., Lira-Saldivar, R.H., Barriga-Castro, E.D., Ruiz-Torres, N.A., Ramos-Cortez, E., Vázquez-Alvarado, R. and Ninō-Medina, G. 2018. Zinc Oxide nanoparticles boosts phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of *Capsicum annuum* L. during germination. Agronomy. 8(10): 215. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agronomy8100215
- Garza-Alonso, C.A., González-García, Y., Cadenas-Pliego, G., Olivares-Sáenz, E., Trejo-Téllez, L.I. and Benavides-Mendoza, A. 2021. Seed priming with ZnO nanoparticles promotes early growth and bioactive compounds of *Moringa oleifera*. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca. 49(4): 12546-12546. https://doi.org/10.15835/nbha49412546
- González, S.C., Bolaina-Lorenzo, E., Pérez-Trujillo, J.J., Puente-Urbina, B.A., Rodríguez-Fernández, O., Fonseca-García, A. and Betancourt-Galindo, R. 2021. Antibacterial and anticancer activity of ZnO with different morphologies: a comparative study. 3 Biotech. 11(2): 1–12. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13205-020-02611-9
- Govorov, A.O. and Carmeli, I. 2007. Hybrid structures composed of photosynthetic system and metal nanoparticles: Plasmon enhancement effect. Nano Letters. 7(3): 620–625. https:// doi.org/10.1021/nl062528t
- Gratton, S.E., Ropp, P.A., Pohlhaus, P.D., Luft, J.C., Madden, V.J., Napier, M.E. and DeSimone, J.M. 2008. The effect of particle design on cellular internalization pathways. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 105(33): 11613–11618. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801763105
- Hajra, A. and Mondal, N.K. 2017. Effects of ZnO and TiO 2 nanoparticles on germination, biochemical and morphoanatomical attributes of *Cicer arietinum* L. Energy, Ecology and Environment. 2(4): 277–288. https://doi. org/10.1007/s40974-017-0059-6
- Hänsch, R. and Mendel, R.R. 2009. Physiological functions of mineral micronutrients (Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, Ni, Mo, B, Cl). Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 12(3): 259–266. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.05.006
- Upadhyaya, H., Begum, L., Dey, B., Nath, P.K. and Panda, S.K. 2017. Impact of Calcium Phosphate Nanoparticles on Rice Plant. Journal of Plant Science and Phytopathology. 1(1): 001–010. https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jpsp.1001001
- Itroutwar, P.D., Kasivelu, G., Raguraman, V., Malaichamy, K. and Sevathapandian, S.K. 2020. Effects of biogenic zinc oxide nanoparticles on seed germination and seedling vigor of maize (*Zea mays*). Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. 29: 101778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bcab.2020.101778
- Juárez-Maldonado, A., Ortega-Ortíz, H., Morales-Díaz, A.B., González-Morales, S., Morelos-Moreno, Á., Cabrera-De la Fuente, M., Sandoval-Rangel, A., Cadenas-Pliego, G. and Benavides-Mendoza, A. 2019. Nanoparticles and nanomaterials as plant biostimulants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 20(1): 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms20010162
- Kamithi, K.D., Wachira, F. and Kibe, A. 2016. Effects of different priming methods and priming durations on enzyme activities in germinating chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). American Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences. 1(1): 1–9.
- Khademalrasool, M., Farbod, M. and Talebzadeh, M.D. 2021. Investigation of shape effect of silver nanostructures and governing physical mechanisms on photo-activity: Zinc



oxide/silver plasmonic photocatalyst. Advanced Powder Technology. 32(6): 1844–1857. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apt.2021.03.008

- Lawre, S., Laware, S.L. and Raskar, S. 2014. Influence of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles on Growth, Flowering and Seed Productivity in Onion. International Journal of Current Microbiology Science. 3(7): 874–881. http://www.ijcmas.com
- Li, Y., Liang, L., Li, W., Ashraf, U., Ma, L., Tang, X., Pan, S., Tian, H. and Mo, Z. 2021. ZnO nanoparticle-based seed priming modulates early growth and enhances physio-biochemical and metabolic profiles of fragrant rice against cadmium toxicity. Journal of Nanobiotechnology. 19(1): 1–20. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12951-021-00820-9
- Liu, M.Z., Zhang, S.Y., Sheng, Y.H. and Zhang, M.L. 2004. Selenium nanoparticles prepared from reverse microemulsion process. Chinese Chemical Letters. 15(10): 1249–1252.
- Liu, R. and Lal, R. 2015. Potentials of engineered nanoparticles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. Science of the Total Environment. 514(2015): 131–139. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.104
- Mahajan, P., Dhoke, S.K., Kanna, A.S. and Tarafdar, J.C. 2011. Effect of nano-ZnO on growth of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) seedlings using plant agar method. Applied Biological Research. 13(2): 54–61.
- Mahakham, W., Sarmah, A.K., Maensiri, S. and Theerakulpisut, P. 2017. Nanopriming technology for enhancing germination and starch metabolism of aged rice seeds using phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles. Scientific Reports. 7(1): 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08669-5
- Mahdieh, M., Sangi, M.R., Bamdad, F. and Ghanem, A. 2018. Effect of seed and foliar application of nano-zinc oxide, zinc chelate, and zinc sulphate rates on yield and growth of pinto bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) cultivars. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 41(18): 2401–2412. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904 167.2018.1510517
- Maurel, C., Boursiac, Y., Luu, D.T., Santoni, V., Shahzad, Z. and Verdoucq, L. 2015. Aquaporins in plants. Physiological Reviews. 95(4): 1321–1358. https://doi.org/10.1152/ physrev.00008.2015
- Méndez-López, A., González-García, Y. and Juárez-Maldonado, A. 2022. Stimulatory role of nanomaterials on agricultural crops. In Nano-enabled Agrochemicals in Agriculture. 219–246. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91009-5.00013-6
- Michalak, A. 2006. Phenolic compounds and their antioxidant activity in plants growing under heavy metal stress. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies. 15(4): 523–530.
- Mokhtari, N., Daneshpajouh, S., Seyedbagheri, S., Atashdehghan, R., Abdi, K., Sarkar, S., Minaian, S., Shahverdi, H.R. and Shahverdi, A.R. 2009. Biological synthesis of very small silver nanoparticles by culture supernatant of *Klebsiellapneumonia*: The effects of visible-light irradiation and the liquid mixing process. Materials Research Bulletin. 44(6): 1415–1421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2008.11.021
- Munir, T., Rizwan, M., Kashif, M., Shahzad, A., Ali, S., Amin, N., Zahid, R., Alam, M.F. and Imran, M. 2018. Effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on the growth and Zn uptake in wheat (*Triticum estivum* L.) by seed priming method. Digest Journal of Nanomaterials and Biostructures. 13(1): 315–323.
- Nagata, M. and Yamashita, I. 1992. Simple method for simultaneous determination of chlorophyll and carotenoids

in tomato fruit. Nippon shokuhin kogyo gakkaishi. 39(10): 925-928.

- Nazerieh, H., Ardebili, Z.O. and Iranbakhsh, A. 2018. Potential benefits and toxicity of nanoselenium and nitric oxide in peppermint. Acta Agriculturae Slovenica. 111(2): 357–368. https://doi.org/10.14720/aas.2018.111.2.11
- Nel, A., Xia, T., Mädler, L. and Li, N. 2006. Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. Science. 311(5761): 622–627. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1114397
- Ng, L.C., Sariah, M., Sariam, O., Radziah, O. and Abidin, M.A. 2012. Rice seed bacterization for promoting germination and seedling growth under aerobic cultivation system. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 6(1): 170–175.
- Nguyen, D.T., Le, H.T., Nguyen, T.T., Nguyen, T.T.T., Bach, L.G., Nguyen, T.D. and Tran, T.D. 2021. Multifunctional ZnO nanoparticles bio-fabricated from *Canna indica* L. flowers for seed germination, adsorption, and photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 420: 126586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126586
- Nounjan, N., Siangliw, J.L., Toojinda, T., Chadchawan, S. and Theerakulpisut, P. 2016. Salt-responsive mechanisms in chromosome segment substitution lines of rice (*Oryza* sativa L. cv. KDML105). Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 103. 96–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.02.038
- Parisi, C., Vigani, M. and Rodríguez-Cerezo, E. 2015. Agricultural nanotechnologies: What are the current possibilities?. Nano Today. 10(2): 124–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. nantod.2014.09.009
- Park, J.H., Von, M.G., Zhang, L., Schwartz, M.P., Ruoslahti, E., Bhatia, S.N. and Sailor, M.J. 2008. Magnetic iron oxide nanoworms for tumor targeting and imaging. Advanced Materials. 20(9): 1630–1635. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200800004
- Peng, X., Palma, S., Fisher, N.S. and Wong, S.S. 2011. Effect of morphology of ZnO nanostructures on their toxicity to marine algae. Aquatic Toxicology. 102(3–4): 186–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.01.014
- Pérez-Velasco, E., Valdez-Aguilar, L.A., Betancourt-Galindo, R., Martínez-Juárez, J., Lozano-Morales, S.A. and González-Fuentes, J.A. 2021. Gas Exchange Parameters, Fruit Yield, Quality, and Nutrient Status in Tomato Are Stimulated by ZnO Nanoparticles of Modified Surface and Morphology and Their Application Form. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 21(2): 991–1003. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42729-021-00416-0
- Pokovai, K. and Fodor, N. 2019. Adjusting ceptometer data to improve leaf area index measurements. Agronomy. 9(12): 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9120866
- Prasad, T.N., Sudhakar, P., Sreenivasulu, Y., Latha, P., Munaswamy, V., Reddy, K.R. and Pradeep, T. 2012. Effect of nanoscale zinc oxide particles on the germination, growth and yield of peanut. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 35(6): 905–927. https:// doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2012.663443
- Rai-Kalal, P. and Jajoo, A. 2021. Priming with zinc oxide nanoparticles improve germination and photosynthetic performance in wheat. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 160: 341–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.01.032
- Rajjou, L., Duval, M., Gallardo, K., Catusse, J., Bally, J., Job, C. and Job, D. 2012. Seed germination and vigor. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 63: 507–533. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042811-105550



- Rundquist, D., Gitelson, A., Leavitt, B., Zygielbaum, A., Perk, R. and Keydan, G. 2014. Elements of an integrated phenotyping system for monitoring crop status at canopy level. Agronomy. 4(1): 108–123. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agronomy4010108
- Hawkesford, M.J. and Barraclough, P.B. 2011. The Molecular and Physiological Basis of Nutrient Use Efficiency in Crops. pp 512. Wiley, London. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470960707
- Sau, T.K. and Rogach, A.L. 2010. Nonspherical noble metal nanoparticles: Colloid-chemical synthesis and morphology control. Advanced Materials. 22(16): 1781–1804. https://doi. org/10.1002/adma.200901271
- Sharifi, R. 2016. Effect of seed priming and foliar application with micronutrients on quality of forage corn (*Zea mays*). Environmental and Experimental Biology. 14(4): 151–156. https://doi.org/10.22364/eeb.14.21
- Shenashen, M.A., El-Safty, S.A. and Elshehy, E.A. 2014. Synthesis, morphological control, and properties of silver nanoparticles in potential applications. Particle and Particle Systems Characterization. 31(3): 293–316. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ppsc.201300181
- Singh, A., Prasad, S.M. and Singh, S. 2018. Impact of nano ZnO on metabolic attributes and fluorescence kinetics of rice seedlings. Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring and Management. 9: 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. enmm.2017.11.006
- Singh, R.P., Handa, R. and Manchanda, G. 2021. Nanoparticles in sustainable agriculture: An emerging opportunity. Journal of Controlled Release. 329: 1234–1248. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.10.051
- Singleton,V.L., Orthofer, R. and Lamuela-Raventos, R.M. 1999. Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of folin-ciocalteu reagent. In Methods in enzymology. 152–178.
- Steiner, A.A. 1961. A universal method for preparing nutrient solutions of a certain desired composition. Plant and soil. 15(2): 134-154.
- Sturikova, H., Krystofova, O., Huska, D. and Adam, V. 2018. Zinc, zinc nanoparticles and plants. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 349: 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhazmat.2018.01.040

- Syu, Y., Hung, J.H., Chen, J.C. and Chuang, H. 2014. Impacts of size and shape of silver nanoparticles on Arabidopsis plant growth and gene expression. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 83: 57–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2014.07.010
- Rehman, U.H., Basra, S.M.A. and Farooq, M. 2011. Field appraisal of seed priming to improve the growth, yield, and quality of direct seeded rice. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 35(4): 357–367. https://doi.org/10.3906/tar-1004-954
- Wang, P. and Grimm, B. 2021. Connecting Chlorophyll Metabolism with Accumulation of the Photosynthetic Apparatus. Trends in Plant Science. 26(5): 484–495. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.12.005
- Welch, R. 1982. Zinc in membrane function and its role in phosphorous toxicity. In 9th Conf. Commonweath Agricultural Bureau, Colloquium, Warwick, England, (pp. 710–715).
- Xiu, Z.M., Zhang, Q.B., Puppala, H.L., Colvin, V.L. and Alvarez, P.J.J. 2012. Negligible particle-specific antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles. Nano Letters. 12(8): 4271–4275. https:// doi.org/10.1021/nl301934w
- Zafar, H., Ali, A., Ali, J.S., Haq, I.U. and Zia, M. 2016. Effect of ZnO nanoparticles on *Brassica nigra* seedlings and stem explants: Growth dynamics and antioxidative response. Frontiers in Plant Science. 7: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2016.00535
- Zhao, J. and Stenzel, M.H. 2018. Entry of nanoparticles into cells: The importance of nanoparticle properties. Polymer Chemistry. 9(3): 259–272. https://doi.org/10.1039/ c7py01603d
- Zhao, L., Su, Y., Hernandez-Viezcas, J.A., Servin, A.D., Hong, J., Niu, G., Peralta-Videa, J.R., Duarte-Garde, M., and Gardea-Torresdey, J.L. 2013. Influence of CeO2 and ZnO nanoparticles on cucumber physiological markers and bioaccumulation of Ce and Zn: A Life Cycle Study. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 61(49): 11945–11951. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf404328e